

# Jurnal Notariil

Jurnal Notariil, Vol. 9, No. 2, 2024; 65-70

P ISSN 2540 - 797X

Available Online at <https://ejournal.warmadewa.ac.id/index.php/notariil>

E ISSN 2615 - 1545

## IMPLEMENTATION OF SMART CONTRACTS IN INDONESIA: AN ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL REGULATION, TAXATION, AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

Happy Budyana Sari, Emmy Febriani Thalib and Ni Putu Suci Meinarni\*  
**Institut Bisnis dan Teknologi Indonesia, Bali, Indonesia**

\*Email: [sucimeinarni@instiki.ac.id](mailto:sucimeinarni@instiki.ac.id)

How To Cite:

Sari, H, B., Thalib, E, F., Meinarni, N, P, S . (2024). Implementation of Smart Contracts in Indonesia: An Analysis of Financial Regulation, Taxation, and Consumer Protection. *Jurnal Notariil*, 9 (2), 65-70, Doi: <https://doi.org/10.22225/jn.9.2.2024.65-70>

### Abstract

The urgency of this research lies in the growing significance of smart contracts within the blockchain technology landscape, particularly in Indonesia. Smart contracts offer the potential for automation and trustworthy business processes, with demonstrated applications in sectors such as electric power, higher education, e-commerce, and more. However, alongside their success and potential, challenges have emerged regarding financial regulations, taxation, and consumer protection. This study aims to explore the use and challenges of smart contracts in the context of Indonesian law. It seeks to identify the existing regulatory frameworks, assess the legal implications of smart contract usage, and propose solutions to ensure compliance with financial, tax, and consumer protection regulations in Indonesia. By gaining a better understanding of regulatory requirements and potential challenges, this research aspires to contribute to the development of a more efficient, automated, and secure blockchain ecosystem in Indonesia. The research method used is a literature review, encompassing the collection, selection, evaluation, analysis, and synthesis of relevant literature from various academic and practical sources. The expected outcome of this study is a deeper understanding of blockchain usage for data security within the legal context of Indonesia, along with practical guidance and recommendations for policymakers, legal practitioners, and stakeholders in developing effective regulations for data protection in the increasingly complex digital era. he abstract should be written in one paragraph and should be not more than 250 words. Arial, font size 10, single spacing. Follow the following pattern: General statement about the importance of the topic, gap in literature or discrepancies between theories and practices, purpose of study, method, main findings, and result.

**Keywords:** consumer protection; digital tax; smart contract; digital transaction; financial policy.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Smart contracts have garnered significant attention within blockchain technology, particularly in Indonesia. These automated contracts, built on blockchain technology, enable automation and reliability in business processes (Wang et al., 2019). In the electricity sector, smart contracts have demonstrated a strong understanding of integration with supply chain management, highlighting the practical applications of this technology (Nugraheni et al., 2022).

In Indonesia, blockchain technology, including smart contracts and tokenization, is considered cutting-edge (Gunawan et al.,

2021).

Smart contracts, as executable code on the blockchain, function to automatically enforce agreements between parties (Wang et al., 2019). They operate within a decentralized architecture where the outcome is agreed upon by all participating nodes (Baihaiqi et al., 2022). The use of smart contracts in various sectors, including higher education and e-commerce in Indonesia, showcases the versatility and potential of this technology.

Smart contracts not only simplify contract execution but also offer benefits such as reduced monitoring costs and

enhanced performance tracking (Wilona et al., 2021). Legally, smart contracts present unique challenges and opportunities. Once initiated, they execute autonomously without the possibility of intervention, modification, or breach, unlike traditional contracts (Zou et al., 2021). The legal status of smart contracts is still evolving, with ongoing discussions about their enforceability and their impact on traditional contract law ("Legalitas dan Efektivitas Kontrak Cerdas, Serta Dampaknya terhadap Konsep Tradisional Hukum Kontrak", 2022;(Baihaiqi et al., 2022)).

Smart contracts are computer programs that automatically operate on the blockchain. They enable the execution of agreements or transactions without human intervention or traditional intermediaries, ensuring that predefined rules are followed. Transparency, immutability, and reliability are key features of smart contracts, eliminating the need for third parties such as notaries or financial institutions, thereby speeding up processes and reducing costs (Fitzhan, 2020). Their applications range from money transfers and digital asset exchanges to automated payments, paving the way for various new industry applications (Suryono, 2023).

In Indonesia, the implementation of smart contracts faces challenges similar to those encountered in other countries in adhering to existing regulations. These challenges involve financial, tax, and consumer protection aspects. The Financial Services Authority (OJK) regulates the financial sector, ensuring that the use of smart contracts in financial transactions complies with current rules, including payments, loans, and investments (Abubakar & Handayani, 2018).

Additionally, the Directorate General of Taxes (DJP) oversees taxation related to digital transactions, while the National Consumer Protection Agency (BPKN) focuses on consumer protection, including contract clarity and dispute resolution (Baihaiqi et al., 2022). The implementation of smart contracts in Indonesia's blockchain ecosystem signals a shift towards more efficient, automated, and secure business processes. However, to achieve widespread adoption, it is essential to address issues related to security, regulatory frameworks, and standardization. Addressing these issues will ensure that this technology can be implemented safely and efficiently,

supporting digital innovation across various sectors.

## **2. METHOD**

A literature study method using a normative legal approach will be employed to collect, analyze, and interpret relevant legal documents such as laws, regulations, court decisions, and other legal literature. This approach allows researchers to explore the existing legal framework related to electronic contracts, the recognition of the legality of smart contracts, and other relevant legal aspects. The analysis may include comparisons with the legal frameworks of other jurisdictions that may be more advanced in regulating the use of smart contracts. Additionally, this method can be used to evaluate the perspectives of legal experts regarding the legal implications of smart contract usage in Indonesia. Consequently, the literature study with a normative legal approach will provide deep insights into the legal status and potential legal barriers that need to be addressed for the use of smart contracts in Indonesia. (Rusdin Tahir, I Gde Pantja Astawa, Agus Widjajanto, Mompang L Panggabean, Moh Mujibur Rohman, Ni Putu Paramita Dewi, Nandang Alamsah Deliaroor, Muhamad Abas, Rizqa Febry Ayu, Ni Putu Suci Meinarni, Fatimah Hs, Ni Wayan Eka Sumartini, Dewi Kania Sugiharti, 2023) (Negara, 2023) (Negara, 2023).

## **3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

This research aims to evaluate the implementation of smart contracts in Indonesia, focusing on sectors such as finance, taxation, and consumer protection. It involves an assessment of the existing regulatory framework, identifying both the challenges and opportunities presented by this technology. Additionally, the study seeks to examine the effectiveness of current regulations governing smart contracts, with the goal of highlighting regulatory gaps and offering recommendations for improvement. Lastly, it aims to identify potential risks and security issues associated with smart contracts, and how regulations can be optimized to mitigate these risks.

### ***Smart Contract and Financial Regulation***

Smart contracts, a key element of blockchain technology, are gaining attention in the context of financial regulation in Indonesia. These contracts

enable automatic execution of agreements without third-party involvement, reducing costs and improving transaction efficiency. Despite their growing use, the regulatory framework governing smart contracts in Indonesia remains in its early stages. Existing regulations, such as those issued by the Financial Services Authority (OJK), tend to focus more on technical aspects and general oversight rather than the specific legal aspects of smart contracts (Abubakar & Handayani, 2018).

The current regulatory framework in Indonesia does not fully address the legal complexities and requirements of smart contracts. One major challenge is the lack of legal certainty regarding the validity and enforcement of smart contracts, especially in cases of disputes. Laws such as the Information and Electronic Transactions (ITE) Act (Republic of Indonesia, n.d.) and other regulations do not explicitly address the legal validity of contracts executed automatically on the blockchain. This creates uncertainty for users of this technology, particularly regarding their legal protection and the enforcement of their rights (Baihaiqi et al., 2022).

In contrast, countries like the United States and the European Union have started developing more comprehensive regulations for the use of smart contracts. In the U.S., states such as Arizona and Nevada have introduced laws that explicitly recognize and protect the legality of smart contracts. The European Union, through its GDPR regulations, provides a framework for data protection in the implementation of smart contracts. (Anastasia et al., 2021) Indonesia can learn from these jurisdictions to develop more adaptive and protective regulations for users of this technology (Wilona et al., 2021).

OJK and Bank Indonesia play key roles in overseeing and regulating the financial sector, including financial technology (fintech). However, current regulations are more focused on general supervision and do not provide specific details on how smart contracts should be regulated. This regulatory gap creates legal risks and uncertainty for companies and consumers using the technology. To strengthen legal protection and regulatory certainty, more specific regulations are needed to cover the full spectrum of legal aspects related to smart contracts (Setyowati, 2023).

To optimize the use of smart contracts in Indonesia, it is crucial to develop clear and comprehensive regulations. These

should include the recognition of the legal validity of smart contracts, rules regarding the rights and obligations of parties, and effective dispute resolution mechanisms. Additionally, stricter oversight and collaboration between government and private institutions can help mitigate the risks associated with this technology. By taking these steps, Indonesia can be better prepared to adopt smart contracts widely, enhance financial sector efficiency, and strengthen its position in the global digital economy (Kharisma, 2021).

### **Smart Contracts and Tax Regulations**

Smart contracts are a technology that enables the automatic execution of agreements through code that runs on the blockchain. In Indonesia, the adoption of smart contracts is becoming more widespread; however, tax regulations for transactions using this technology are still in the developmental stage. Value-Added Tax (VAT) and Income Tax are two types of taxes relevant to digital transactions facilitated by smart contracts. Minister of Finance Regulation No. 48/PMK.03/2020 governs the collection of VAT on the utilization of intangible goods and/or taxable services from outside the customs area into the customs area through electronic systems, as part of efforts to regulate the rapidly growing digital economy (Kurniawan & ., 2021).

One of the main challenges in regulating taxes for smart contracts in Indonesia is determining the place of taxation. Since transactions conducted through smart contracts are often cross-border, identifying the jurisdiction with the right to impose taxes becomes complicated. The Indonesian government has implemented the principle of "significant economic presence" as the basis for determining tax obligations for foreign companies conducting digital transactions with consumers in Indonesia. However, effective implementation and enforcement of these regulations remain significant challenges (Afandi & Rismawati, 2021).

Other countries, such as Australia and Singapore, have made more advanced steps in tax regulations for digital transactions. Australia, for instance, has implemented a digital services tax since 2017 and has a clearer mechanism to ensure foreign companies comply with local tax rules. Singapore has also applied GST (Goods and Services Tax) for digital services, including those provided through

smart contracts, with a regulatory framework that emphasizes transparency and high compliance. Lessons from these countries can assist Indonesia in refining and improving its tax regulations (Burnama, 2022).

The Indonesian government, through the Directorate General of Taxes (DGT), has taken steps to refine tax policies related to the digital economy, including imposing taxes on transactions using smart contracts. The application of VAT on electronic transactions conducted by foreign entities without a physical presence in Indonesia is one important step. Additionally, the government is working to introduce new tax policies that align with technological advancements and digital business models, aiming to create a level playing field between domestic and foreign businesses (Burhan, 2021).

To optimize tax regulations related to smart contracts, Indonesia needs to develop a more comprehensive and clear legal framework. This includes recognizing significant economic presence as the basis for determining tax obligations and strengthening mechanisms for tax monitoring and enforcement. Learning from best practices in other jurisdictions, such as Australia and Singapore, can provide valuable insights for improving Indonesia's tax regulations. These steps will not only enhance tax compliance but also promote healthy and sustainable digital economic growth in Indonesia (Rahmi et al., 2021).

### ***Consumer Protection in Smart Contract***

In Indonesia, consumer protection in the use of smart contracts is a critical issue, as this technology is still new and not specifically regulated by existing laws. Currently, relevant laws such as Law No. 8 of 1999 on Consumer Protection (UUPK) (Undang-Undang Perlindungan Konsumen, 1999) and Law No. 11 of 2008 on Electronic Information and Transactions (UU ITE) (UU Informasi Dan Transaksi Elektronik, 2016) provide a general framework for consumer protection but do not yet accommodate the unique aspects of smart contracts (Wilona et al., 2021).

The main challenge in consumer protection related to smart contracts is the lack of specific regulations governing these electronic contracts, including how consumer rights and obligations are protected. Additionally, there is no clear mechanism for resolving disputes that may

arise from the use of smart contracts. Although the UU ITE provides a legal basis for electronic contracts, the details of implementation and legal protection are still lacking, especially in the context of international transactions involving smart contracts (Agustin & Kurniawan, 2017).

Countries like the United States and the European Union have made more progress in developing consumer protection regulations for digital contracts. In the EU, regulations such as the Brussels I Regulation and Rome I Regulation provide a clear framework for jurisdiction and applicable law in cross-border transactions, helping to protect consumers in e-commerce. In the U.S., consumer protection is strengthened by the principle of strict liability, which allows consumers to receive compensation without having to prove the fault of the producer (Hardigaluh & Pulungan, 2022).

Law No. 8 of 1999 on Consumer Protection (UUPK) and Law No. 11 of 2008 on Electronic Information and Transactions (UU ITE) serve as the legal basis for protecting consumers in electronic transactions in Indonesia. However, these laws are still limited in scope and do not fully address the challenges arising from the use of smart contracts. It is essential to update and adjust these regulations to better align with technological developments and the needs of modern consumers (Subagyo et al., 2023) (Apsari et al., 2021).

To enhance consumer protection in the use of smart contracts, Indonesia needs to develop more comprehensive and specific regulations. This includes establishing clear dispute resolution mechanisms and ensuring that consumer rights are protected, especially in cross-border transactions. Additionally, learning from other jurisdictions such as the EU and the U.S. can help Indonesia shape a robust regulatory framework that better protects consumer interests (Baihaiqi et al., 2022).

### ***Challenges and Opportunities of Smart Contracts in Indonesia***

One of the primary challenges in implementing smart contracts in Indonesia is the lack of a specific regulatory framework. While this technology offers efficiency and security through automatic execution without third-party involvement, existing laws such as the Electronic Information and Transactions Law (UU ITE) do not explicitly address the validity and legal protection of smart contracts.

This creates legal uncertainty, particularly in terms of dispute resolution and the fulfillment of contractual obligations between parties.

In addition, smart contracts in Indonesia face challenges related to security risks and potential misuse. Since smart contracts are based on code implemented on the blockchain, security issues such as bugs in the code or cyberattacks can lead to significant financial losses. Users who are not familiar with the complexities of this technology may also be vulnerable to fraud or data breaches. This underscores the need for stronger regulations to protect consumers and ensure that the systems in use are secure and reliable.

Despite these challenges, smart contracts also present various opportunities for innovation and efficiency, especially in sectors like e-commerce and financial services. With the automation and transparency offered by smart contracts, many business processes can be simplified, reducing administrative costs and increasing transaction speeds. This is particularly relevant in Indonesia, where the adoption of digital technology is rapidly increasing. Both the government and the private sector can take advantage of these opportunities to introduce new products and services based on smart contract technology (Santoso et al., 2020).

In addressing these challenges, Indonesia can learn from other countries such as the United States and the European Union, which have developed clearer legal frameworks for smart contracts. In the U.S., several states have recognized and protected the legality of smart contracts, while the EU, through various regulations including the principle of technology neutrality, has strengthened consumer protection and data security. Adopting a similar approach can help Indonesia develop comprehensive regulations and facilitate the safe adoption of this technology (Wardani & Afriansyah, 2020).

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The implementation of smart contracts in Indonesia presents both significant challenges and opportunities. The primary challenge lies in the absence of a specific regulatory framework that governs the legal validity, protection, and enforcement of smart contracts, leading to legal uncertainty, especially in dispute resolution and contractual obligations. Moreover,

security risks such as code vulnerabilities and potential cyberattacks add to the complexities, highlighting the need for stronger consumer protection and reliable systems. Despite these obstacles, smart contracts offer immense potential for innovation and efficiency across sectors like e-commerce and financial services by automating processes, reducing costs, and accelerating transactions. As digital adoption continues to rise in Indonesia, the opportunities for leveraging smart contracts to enhance business operations are substantial. To overcome these challenges and fully realize the benefits of smart contracts, Indonesia can draw lessons from countries such as the United States and the European Union, which have implemented more comprehensive regulatory frameworks. By adopting clear regulations that address the legal and security aspects of smart contracts, Indonesia can create a conducive environment for the safe and widespread adoption of this transformative technology, supporting digital innovation and economic growth.

#### REFERENCES

- Abubakar, L., & Handayani, T. (2018). Financial Technology: Legal Challenges for Indonesia Financial Sector. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 175(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/175/1/012204>
- Afandi, E. P., & Rismawati, E. (2021). The Potential Taxation Of The Digital Sector In Indonesia That Comes From Digital Products From Abroad. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications (IJSRP)*, 11(7). <https://doi.org/10.29322/ijsrp.11.07.2021.p11571>
- Agustin, E., & Kurniawan, F. (2017). CONSUMER PROTECTION IN ELECTRONIC CONTRACTS: THE CASE OF INDONESIA. *Journal of Nusantara Studies (JONUS)*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.24200/jonus.vol2iss1pp159-169>
- Anastasia, L., Dewi, T., Kenotariatan, M., Putu, N., & Meinarni, S. (2021). ANALISIS EKONOMI TERHADAP HUKUM DALAM KEGAGALAN PERLINDUNGAN DATA PRIBADI PENGGUNA E-COMMERCE ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF LAW IN FAILURE TO PERSONAL DATA PROTECTION OF E-COMMERCE USERS I Dewa Gede Dana Sugama. *Jurnal IUS Kajian Hukum Dan Keadilan*, 9(3). <http://jurnalius.ac.id/ojs/index.php/jurnalIUSDOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.29303/ius.v9i3.978>
- Apsari, D. A. P., Meinarni, N. P. S., & Parwita, W. G. S. (2021). Pengaruh Penggunaan Internet Banking Dan Perlindungan Data Nasabah Terhadap Cybercrime Di Kota

- Denpasar. *Ganaya: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan Humaniora*, 4(1), 142–149. <https://jayapanguspress.penerbit.org/index.php/ganaya/article/view/1254>
- Baihaiqi, M. R., Adillah, S. U., & Hasana, D. (2022). Juridical Overview of the Use of Smart Contracts in Indonesia as a Form of Artificial Intelligence Development. *Sultan Agung Notary Law Review*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.30659/sanlar.4.1.111-123>
- Burhan, L. (2021). Tax Administration Reform in Indonesia: Lessons, Learn, and Future direction. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications (IJSRP)*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.29322/ijsrp.11.02.2021.p11007>
- Burnama, I. (2022). ASPEK KEADILAN ATURAN PAJAK INDONESIA DALAM MENGATUR TRANSAKSI EKONOMI DIGITAL: RESPON ATAS INVESTIGASI USTR. *Scientax*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.52869/st.v4i1.242>
- Fitzhan, A. (2020). Opportunities and Challenges Blockchain-based Smart Contracts in Indonesia. *International Journal of Emerging Trends in Engineering Research*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.30534/ijeter/2020/02822020>
- Gunawan, I. K., Lutfiani, N., Aini, Q., Suryaman, F. M., & Sunarya, A. (2021). Smart Contract Innovation and Blockchain-Based Tokenization in Higher Education. *Journal of Education Technology*, 5(4). <https://doi.org/10.23887/jet.v5i4.40665>
- Hardigaluh, D. D., & Pulungan, M. S. (2022). Comparative Study on Legal Certainty of Consumer Protection Regarding E-Commerce Transactions, Between Indonesia, India and the United States. *Musamus Law Review*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.35724/mularev.v5i1.4858>
- Kharisma, D. B. (2021). Urgency of financial technology (Fintech) laws in Indonesia. *International Journal of Law and Management*, 63(3), 320–331. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLMA-08-2020-0233>
- Kurniawan, A., & D. (2021). Implementation of PMSE VAT in Indonesia: Implementation and Challenges. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications (IJSRP)*, 11(7). <https://doi.org/10.29322/ijsrp.11.07.2021.p11572>
- Negara, T. A. S. (2023). Normative Legal Research in Indonesia: Its Origin and Approaches. *Audito Comparative Law Journal (ACLJ)*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.22219/aclj.v4i1.24855>
- Nugraheni, N., Mentari, N., & Shafira, B. (2022). The Study of Smart Contract in the Hara Platform under the Law of Contract in Indonesia. *Scholars International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice*, 5(7). <https://doi.org/10.36348/sijlcj.2022.v05i07.005>
- Rahmi, N., Arimbhi, P., Wulandari, W., Ramdan, A., & Rachmatulloh, I. (2021). Opportunities and Challenges Digital Tax in Indonesia. *Transparansi: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Administrasi*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.31334/transparansi.v4i2.1891>
- Rusdin Tahir, I Gde Pantja Astawa, Agus Widjajanto, Mompang L Panggabean, Moh Mujibur Rohman, Ni Putu Paramita Dewi, Nandang Alamsah Deliarnoor, Muhamad Abas, Rizqa Febry Ayu, Ni Putu Suci Meinarni, Fatimah Hs, Ni Wayan Eka Sumartini, Dewi Kania Sugiharti, S. R. P. (2023). *Metodologi Penelitian Bidang Hukum: Suatu Pendekatan Teori dan Praktek*. Sonpedia.
- Santoso, W., Putra, A., Passagi, J., Hanindya, Y., & Tagar, A. (2020). Governing Blockchain-based Token in Indonesia: Legal and Technical Perspective. *Brawijaya Law Journal*, 7(1), 108–128. <https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.blj.2020.007.01.08>
- Setyowati, A. B. (2023). Governing sustainable finance: insights from Indonesia. *Climate Policy*, 23(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2020.1858741>
- Subagyo, B. S. A., Astutik, S., Chumaida, Z. V., Romadhona, M. K., & Usanti, T. P. (2023). Consumer Dispute in Electronic Transactions: State Obligation and Dispute Settlement Under Indonesia Consumer Protection Law. *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development*, 11(10). <https://doi.org/10.55908/sdgs.v11i10.1240>
- Suryono, M. V. A. (2023). Legal Reforming of Smart Contract in Supply Chain Demands Process between Retailer and Consumer. *Jurnal Kajian Pembinaan Hukum*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.19184/jkph.v3i1.33610>
- Undang-Undang Perlindungan Konsumen (1999).
- UU Informasi Dan Transaksi Elektronik, Pub. L. No. Undang-Undang Nomor 19 Tahun 2016 perubahan atas Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2008 (2016).
- Wang, S., Ouyang, L., Yuan, Y., Ni, X., Han, X., & Wang, F. Y. (2019). Blockchain-Enabled Smart Contracts: Architecture, Applications, and Future Trends. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, 49(11). <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMC.2019.2895123>
- Wardani, N. K., & Afriansyah, A. (2020). Indonesian Legal Challenges Regarding Electronic Contracts in International Trade. *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Law and Governance (ICLAVE 2019)*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aebmr.k.200321.004>
- Wilona, M. Z., Latifah, E., & Purwadi, H. (2021). Privacy Policy on Smart Contracts in E-Commerce Transactions. *Law Reform: Jurnal Pembaharuan Hukum*, 17(1). <https://doi.org/10.14710/lr.v17i1.37552>
- Zou, W., Lo, D., Kochhar, P. S., Le, X. B. D., Xia, X., Feng, Y., Chen, Z., & Xu, B. (2021). Smart Contract Development: Challenges and Opportunities. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 47(10). <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSE.2019.2942301>